

Survey answers from candidates for State Representative

Name	District	Voter Initiative	Inspector General	Publish Legislator Voting Records	Voter Handbook: Pro and Con	End Legislative Grants
EDITH H AJELLO	3	oppose	support	support	support	reform the process
DAVID V ANDERSON	4	support	support	support	support	support
PATRICK M WARD	7	support	support	support	support	support
NOEL O SANCHEZ	9	support	support	support	support	support
DAVID B TALAN	10	support	no opinion - concerned about creating a new state bureaucracy	support	support	support
RENAY OMISORE	11	support	support	support	support	no opinion - change the basis and continuation process - drop the "status quo"
IVAN G MARTE	14	support	no opinion - to whom would the IG report?	support	support - transparency is a major step forward in government	support - there has to be a way to stop this
CHRISTOPHER E FRAPPIER	15	support	No opinion - see note below	support	support	support
JASPER P BEDROSIAN	17	support	support	support	support	support
JONATHAN R. WHEELER	22	support	support	support	support	support
JOSEPH A TRILLO	24	support	support	support	support	support
WILLIAM J.MURPHY	26	oppose	support	no opinion	? How would the process be set up?	oppose
STEVEN J. HART	28	support	support	support	support	support
JEAN ANN W GULIANO	30	support - Provided initiatives do not violate civil rights and is an indirect initiative like MA	support	support	support - provided both are objective	support - see note below
LAURENCE W EHRHARDT	32	support	support	support	support	support - see note below
CHRISTOPHER H LITTLE	33	support	support	support	support	support
TONY CIRILLO	33	support	support	support	support	support
MICHAEL A RICE	35	oppose - see note below	support	support	support	oppose - see note below
MATTHEW J MCHUGH	36	support	support	support	support	support
DONNA M WALSH	36	oppose	support	support	support	oppose
GEORGE W MARKHAM	37	support	support	support	support	support
ROD DRIVER	39	support - see note below	support- see note below	support- see note below	support- see note below	support- see note below
NICHOLAS GORHAM	40	support	support	support	support	support
MICHAEL J MARCELLO	41	support	no opinion	support	support	support - see note below
WILLIAM J MCMANUS	46	support	support	support	support	support
BRIAN C NEWBERRY	48	support	no opinion - not enough info	support	support	support
CHRIS FIERRO	51	oppose - see note below	support	support	support	no opinion - see note below
MICHAEL TUSONI	52	support	support	support	support	support

ELIZABETH M. DENNIGAN	62	oppose - see note below	support	support	support	see note below
JOHN A SAVAGE	65	support - But process must eliminate problems that have surfaced in other states	support	support - in easily readable form	support	support
MARGARET E KANE	66	supports with exceptions	supports - no opinion - needs more information	supports - see note below	supports - see note below	supports - see note below
JOHN G EDWARDS	70	support	support - see note below	support	support	support
DANIEL PATRICK REILLY	72	support	support	support	support	support
AMY G RICE	72	oppose	support	no opinion	no opinion	no opinion
STEVEN JOHN COATY	75	support	support	support	support	support

notes

Christopher E Frappier - Inspector General - I will support once I learn more about how large the office would be, and how it will be funded

Jean Ann W. Guliano - Legislative Grants - the process should allow for groups in need to receive grants based on the regular legislative processes and voting - not current system

Laurence Ehrhardt - Legislative Grants - It is the grant process, not the grants themselves that is wrong. I stopped accepting these grants 2 years ago.

Michael A. Rice - Voter Initiative - I'm from California where this started in the 1890s. In electronic age it is a major tool of moneyed special interests. Very corrupt!
Legislative Grants - These are also used to support small community groups w/ good aims. Characterizing as purely corrupt is unfair.

Rod Driver - Voter Initiative - I collected more than 1200 signatures on the Voter Initiative petition.
All five questions - I have spent long hours at the statehouse testifying for bills on these proposals.

Michael J. Marcello - Legislative Grants - if grants are included in the normal budget process, then I believe they are acceptable

Cris Fierro - Voter Initiative - While I support the underlying fundamentals of voter initiative, I cannot support any iteration that does not hold the same lobbying standards to which current elected officials must comply. Without these standards, voter initiative provides for direct lobbying of voters with no accountability
Legislative grants - I believe that there needs to be more transparency in the distribution of legislative grants. I am not sure that I would support abolishing them altogether, but I certainly think that there is room for a middle-ground where the grants serve their purpose of helping local groups while being less politicized.

Elizabeth M. Dennigan - Voter Initiative - Please reconsider: VI is for the wealthy. Money determines what is placed on the ballot.
Legislative Grants - Supports full disclosure and equitable non-partisan distribution to assist non-profits.

Margaret E. Kane - Philosophically I support all these issues but reserve judgement on specific legislation until it has been thoroughly reviewed.

John G. Edwards - Inspector General - as long as this office doesn't expand & add costs to overall budget